

**Record of Proceedings dated 28-07-2021**

RP No.2 of 2020 in OP Nos. 68 & 69 of 2019  
APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Public hearing in the matter of Review Petition on the Order dt.10-02-2020 in O.P.Nos. 68 & 69 of 2019 in respect of the Retail Supply Tariff Order for FY 2020-21

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the utilities and Sri. Sajan Poovayya, Senior Advocate representing one of the objector are present at the web hearing.

Review Petition is disposed of. (Vide separate order)

Sd/-  
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
MEMBER / PRR

OP No. 70 of 2021 & IA No. 1 of 2021  
M/s. G Shoe Export vS. APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 21-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in OP.Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009

Application seeking direction that the generic tariff of Rs.3.43/- per unit may be made applicable to the applicant / petitioner during the pendency of the present petition

Sri. A. Purushotham Reddy, counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present at the web hearing.

Call along with O.P.No.27 of 2021 & batch on 25-08-2021.

Sd/-  
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
MEMBER / PRR

Revision Petition.No. 1 of 2020  
Sri. R. Ramamurthy Naidu Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Nellore &  
CMD / APTRANSCO  
Petition filed under Rule 3 (5) & 13 (2) where any difference and / or dispute arises as to the amount of compensation determined under sub-rule (1) the matter shall be determined by the Commission .

Revision Petition No. 1 of 2021  
(Modified OP.No. 27 of 2020 as per ROP dated 06-01-2021)  
Sri. G. Maheswara Rao Vs. The Collector and District Magistrate, Krishna District ,  
APTRANSCO & GoAP  
Petition under Electricity Act, 2003, AP Works of Licensee Rules 2007 Rule 13 (2)

Revision Petition No. 2 of 2021  
(Modified OP.No. 28 of 2020 as per ROP dated 06-01-2021)  
Sri. Pothumarthi Venkateswara Rao & 12 others Vs. The Collector and District  
Magistrate, Krishna District;  
APTRANSCO & GoAP

Petition under Electricity Act, 2003, AP Works of Licensee Rules 2007 Rule 13 (2)

Sri. P. Chengal Reddy, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, and Sri P. Shiva Rao,  
learned Standing counsel for the utilities are present at the web hearing.

Heard. Orders reserved.

Sd/-  
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
MEMBER / PRR

IA No. 45 of 2020 in O.P. No.65 of 2019  
M/s. TGV SRAAC Ltd Vs. APTRANSCO, APSPDCL & NREDCAP

Petition under Section 86(1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 55 of  
the APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 for adjudication of the disputes  
between the parties in respect of giving credit of about 73,68,610 units of wind power  
generated and evacuated into Grid between April, 2016 to July, 2019 in the energy  
bills of the petitioner

Application filed by Respondent No.3 / APSPDCL under Clause 55 of APERC  
(Conduct of Business) Regulation No. 2 of 1999 for amendment of counter affidavit

Sri Alladi Ravinder, counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing  
Counsel for the respondents are present at the web hearing.

At the request of Sri Alladi Ravinder, call on 25-08-2021.

Sd/-  
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
MEMBER / PRR

Revision Petition No. 3 of 2021

Sri Dakarapu Venkat Rao  
Sri D.G. Srinivasa Rao Vs 1) Collector & District Magistrate, Eluru, W.G.District  
2) CMD/ APTRANSCO

Petition filed under Rule 3 (5) & 13 (2) where any difference and / or dispute arises as to the amount of compensation determined under sub-rule (1) the matter shall be determined by the Commission .

Sri. P. Chengal Reddy, learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing counsel for the utilities are present at the web hearing.

Heard. Orders reserved.

Sd/-  
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
MEMBER / PRR

OP No.1 of 2021  
Sri. Puchakayala Chenna Reddy Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam,  
Krishna District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 2 of 2021  
Sri. Midde Chennaiah Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 3 of 2021  
Sri. M. Satyanarayana Reddy Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam,  
Krishna District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 4 of 2021  
Smt. Madupu Sai Kumari Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 5 of 2021  
Sri. Tirupati Guravaiah Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 6 of 2021  
Sri. Delli Balaraju Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna District  
& CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 7 of 2021  
Smt. Yadala Samba Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 8 of 2021

Sri. Nakkaboyana Venkateswara Rao Vs. Collector & District Magistrate,  
Machilipatnam, Krishna District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 9 of 2021

Sri. D. Chinna Raghavulu Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 10 of 2021

Sri. Kurakula Pothuraju Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 11 of 2021

Smt. Dasari Ramanjamma Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna  
District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 12 of 2021

Sri. Puchakayala Venkata Reddy Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam,  
Krishna District & CMD / APTRANSCO

OP No. 13 of 2021

Sri. Puchakayala Buchi Reddy Vs. Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam,  
Krishna District & CMD / APTRANSCO

Petition filed under Electricity Act, 2003 Section 142 & 146 AP Works of Licensee  
Rules 2007 r/w Rule 3 (5) & 13 (1) 13 (2).

Sri. P. Chengal Reddy, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned  
Standing counsel for the utilities and Sri. Venkateswarlu, DRO, Krishna District  
representing Collector & District Magistrate, Machilipatnam, Krishna District are  
present at the web hearing.

Sri Venkateswarlu, DRO submitted that order dated 20-01-2018 passed by this  
Commission in O.P.No.32 of 2017 & Batch is not complied with so far. It is  
unfortunate that the Collector & District Magistrate ignored the directions issued by  
this Commission and failed to pass fresh orders despite lapse of more than 3½ years.  
It appears he has ignored the fact that the farmers are craving for just compensation  
in respect of the lands which are either lost or whose value has got diminished due to

laying of towers as far back as the year 2014. The Commission cannot appreciate this kind of indifference or indolence which is resulting in injustice being caused to the farmers. As a last chance, four weeks' time is granted to the Collector & District Magistrate for passing fresh orders in compliance with the order dated 20-01-2018. On the next date of hearing, the Collector & District Magistrate shall be personally present during the web hearing and explain his inaction in complying with the order of this Commission. Call on 08-09-2021.

Sd/-  
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-  
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-  
MEMBER / PRR