

Record of Proceedings dated 17-11-2021

IA No. 1 of 2021 in O.P. No.61 of 2019

M/s. SBG Cleantech Project Co Pvt. Ltd Vs APSLDC, APTRANSCO,
APEPDCL, NTPC & MNRE

Petition u/s 33 (4) read with Sections 86 (1) (e), 86 (1) (f) and 86 (1) (k) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Regulation 8 of the APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 as amended from time to time, inter-alia, seeking direction(s) to the respondents to implement the MUST RUN status accorded to the petitioner's Solar Project in letter and spirit, refrain from illegally curtailing the solar energy generated therefrom, and compensate the petitioner for the unlawful and arbitrary curtailment of generation from the petitioner's Solar Project

IA No. 1 of 2021 -Application filed by APSLDC under clause 55 of APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations for Extension of time

IA No. 1 of 2021 in O.P.No. 74 of 2019

M/s.Azure Power India Pvt. Ltd Vs APSLDC, APTRANSCO, APEPDCL,
NTPC & MNRE

Petition filed by the petitioner under Section 33(4) read with Section 86(1)(e), 86(1)(f), and 86(1)(k) of the Electricity Act, 2003, and Regulation 8 of the Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 as amended from time to time, inter alia seeking direction(s) to the Respondents to implement the MUST RUN status accorded to the Petitioner's Solar Projects in letter and spirit, refrain from illegally curtailing the solar energy generated therefrom and compensate the Petitioner for the unlawful and arbitrary curtailment of generation from the Petitioner's Solar Project

IA No. 1 of 2021 - Application filed by respondent / APSLDC under clause 55 of APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations for extension of time

Sri.Aniket Prason, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri. G.V. Brahmananda Rao representing Sri. Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing counsel for the Respondents are present at the web hearing.

These two applications are filed seeking extension of time for the Expert Committee constituted by the Commission for submitting the report. In support of the applications, the applicant/APSLDC submitted that as it was required to submit

information relating to 4250 instructions by 6-10-2021, it could not complete the task in spite of making all out efforts and that therefore the time granted to the Expert Committee for submitting the report may be extended by two months to enable the applicant to file the data within a reasonable time.

Mr. Aniket Prason, learned Counsel for the non-applicants/petitioners in the O.Ps., submitted that the applicant was granted time more than once for submitting the data and that it has failed to comply with the direction of the Expert Committee in this regard.

Having regard to the plea of the applicant that the data relating to about 4250 instructions has been asked to be furnished by it, we are inclined to extend the time for the Expert Committee to submit its report by two more months. The applicant shall submit all the required data as early as possible and not later than three weeks from today, to enable the Expert Committee to examine, hear both the parties and submit its report within the extended time. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRR

O.P.No.27 of 2021

M/s. Mayura Steels Private Limited Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 22-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos.6 & 7 of 2009

O.P.No.28 of 2021

M/s. Happy Valley Developers Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 16-12-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos.6 & 7 of 2009.

O.P.No.29 of 2021

M/s. Canara Cement Pipes Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 19-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos.6 & 7 of 2009.

O.P.No.30 of 2021

M/s. Orange Sorting Machines (India) Private Limited Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 19-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos.6 & 7 of 2009.

O.P.No.31 of 2021

M/s. Megha Associates Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 24-03-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009.

O.P.No.32 of 2021

M/s. Shilpa Medicare Limited Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 03-03-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos.6 & 7 of 2009.

O.P.No.33 of 2021

M/s. Nandi Roller Flour Mills Private Limited Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 20-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009.

O.P.No.34 of 2021

M/s. Protectron Electromech Private limited Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the EAct, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for

PPA dated 22-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in O.P.Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009.

OP No. 70 of 2021
M/s. G Shoe Export vS. APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 21-04-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in OP.Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009

OP No. 62 of 2021
M/s. Texonic Instruments Vs. APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1)(a), 86(1)(b) and 86 (1) (e) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for approval of generic tariff at Rs. 3.43/- per unit for sale of wind power to the AP DISCOMs, for PPA dated 16-12-2010 in the State of AP after expiry of the ten year period in terms of the Order dated 01-05-2009 passed by this Hon'ble Commission in OP.Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009

Sri. Salman Chowdhry representing Sri. A. Purushotham Reddy, counsel for the petitioner and Sri. G.V. Brahmananda Rao representing Sri. Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing counsel for the Respondents are present at the web hearing.

In spite of granting time on many occasions for filing rejoinders, rejoinders have not been filed. Hence, the petitions are adjourned sine die with liberty to the petitioners to move the Commission by way of appropriate applications for fixing the date of hearing after filing the rejoinders.

Sd/-
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRR

O.P.No. 43 of 2020
APPDCL Vs. APSPDCL, APEPDCL, APCPDCL, APTRANSCO & APGENCO

Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for adjudication of dispute relating to payment of Fixed Charges to APPDCL upon diversion of Flexi coal from RTPP - I & III Stages of APGENCO to SDSTPS / Stage-I of APPDCL during FY2018-19 & 2019-20

Sri. K. Gopal Choudary, counsel for the petitioner and Sri. G.V. Brahmananda Rao representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present at the web hearing.

Sri G.V. Brahmananda Rao representing Sri P. Shiva Rao submitted that there is bereavement in the latter's family and that therefore he is unable to attend the hearing for arguing the case. Sri K. Gopal Choudary has graciously agreed for an adjournment. Call on 15-12-2021.

Sd/-
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRR

O.P.No. 21 of 2020
Tata Power Renewable Energy Ltd Vs. APSPDCL, APSLDC & APTRANSCO

Petition for directions treating the loss of a generation of Rs. 68.39 Crores as computed till May 2020 on account of curtailment of power as a deemed generation by the Petitioner/TPREL and direct Respondent No. 1 to make payment for the said Deemed Generation Charges.

Sri. Shri Venkatesh, counsel for the petitioner and Sri. G.V. Brahmananda Rao representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present at the web hearing.

Sri G.V. Brahmananda Rao representing Sri P. Shiva Rao submitted that there is bereavement in the latter's family and that therefore he is unable to attend the hearing for arguing the case. Sri Shri Venkatesh has graciously agreed for an adjournment. Call on 05-01-2022.

Sd/-
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRR