

Record of proceedings dated 28-07-2020

**I.A.No. 9 of 2019 in O.P.No. 2 of 2015
& I.A.No. 10 of 2019 in O.P.No.1 of 2015**

Andhra Pradesh Ferro Alloys Producers Association; M/s. Abhijeet Ferrotech Ltd & M/s.Andhra Ferro Alloys Ltd Vs CMD / APEPDCL & Principal Secretary, Government of AP Energy, Infrastructure & Investment (Power) Department

Petition filed under Sections 62 read with 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for revisiting of the conditions stipulated in the Retail Supply Tariff Order for FY 2015-16 the category of HT-1(b) consumers

We have heard Sri C.V. Mohan Reddy, learned senior counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents at the web hearing. Orders reserved.

Sd/-
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRR

I.A. No.27 of 2019 in O.P. No. 74 of 2019

M/s.Azure Power India Pvt. Ltd Vs APSLDC, APTRANSCO, APEPDCL, NTPC & MNRE

Petition filed by the petitioner under Section 33(4) read with Section 86(1)(e), 86(1)(f), and 86(1)(k) of the Electricity Act, 2003, and Regulation 8 of the Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 as amended from time to time, inter alia seeking direction(s) to the Respondents to implement the MUST RUN status accorded to the Petitioner's Solar Projects in letter and spirit, refrain from illegally curtailing the solar energy generated therefrom and compensate the Petitioner for the unlawful and arbitrary curtailment of generation from the Petitioner's Solar Project

I.A.No.27 of 2019 filed by the petitioner under Regulation 16 read with Regulation 55 of the Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 as amended from time to time, inter alia seeking interim direction(s) to the Respondents to implement the MUST RUN status accorded to the Petitioner's Solar Project in letter and spirit, and to produce the documents specified in the application which are necessary for the purpose of enabling the Commission to pass orders for adjudication of the Original Petition.

I.A.No. 20 of 2019 in O.P.No.61 of 2019

M/s. SBG Cleantech Project Co Pvt. Ltd Vs APSLDC, APTRANSCO, APEPDCL, NTPC & MNRE

Petition u/s 33 (4) read with Sections 86 (1) (e), 86 (1) (f) and 86 (1) (k) of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Regulation 8 of the APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 as amended from time to time, inter-alia, seeking direction(s) to the respondents to implement the MUST RUN status accorded to the petitioner's Solar Project in letter and spirit, refrain from illegally curtailing the solar energy

generated therefrom, and compensate the petitioner for the unlawful and arbitrary curtailment of generation from the petitioner's Solar Project

I.A.No.20 of 2019 filed by the petitioner under Regulation 16 read with Regulation 55 of the APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 as amended from time to time, inter-alia, seeking interim direction(s) to the respondents to implement the MUST RUN status accorded to the petitioner's Solar Project in letter and spirit and to produce documents specified hereunder which are necessary for the purpose of enabling this Commission to pass order for adjudication of the petition filed under Section 33 (4) read with Section 86 (1) (e), 86 (1)(f) and 86 (1) (k) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Sri Aniket Prasoon and Ms. Priya Dhankar, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present at the web hearing.

The respondents have filed an application for adjournment on the ground that they are engaging a senior counsel, who is also appearing in identical cases before the Hon'ble High Court of AP. At the hearing, Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submitted that as the petitioners have filed certain documents for the first time along with rejoinder, they are also proposing to file documents to meet the pleadings in the rejoinder and the contents of the documents filed along with rejoinder.

Sri Aniket Prasoon, learned counsel for the petitioners, seriously opposed the said request of Sri P. Shiva Rao. As this Commission intends to give fullest opportunity to both parties and taking into consideration the plea of the respondents that they intend to engage a senior counsel, who is also stated to be appearing in the Hon'ble High Court of AP in similar matters, we are inclined to grant a reasonable time to the respondents. Call on 19-08-2020.

Sd/-
MEMBER / TRS

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Sd/
MEMBER / PRR