

ANDHRA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

4th Floor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500 004

SATURDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER TWO THOUSAND EIGHTEEN

:Present:

Justice G. Bhavani Prasad, Chairman Dr. P. Raghu, Member Sri P. Rama Mohan, Member

> R.P.No. 1 of 2018 in

O.P.No. 1 of 2017

Between:

- 1. M/s. Mangalam Fashions Limited
- 2. M/s. Woodside Fashions Limited
- 3. M/s. RBA Properties Ltd

... Petitioners / Respondent Nos. 8, 9 & 39 in O.P.No.1 of 2017

AND

- Southern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited
- 2. Eastern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited

... Respondents / Petitioners in O.P.No.1 of 2017

This Review Petition has come up for hearing finally on 27-10-2018 in the presence of Sri N. Sai Phanindra Kumar, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. After carefully considering the material available on record and after hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for both parties, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

Heard Sri N. Sai Phanindra Kumar, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The petitioners stated that they did not claim

the Generation Based Incentive (GBI) at any point of time and accordingly they submitted letters dated 14-04-2017 and 03-06-2017 to the Commission seeking their deletion as respondents in O.P.No.1 of 2017 on the file of this Commission. However, in the orders of the Commission dated 28-07-2018 in O.P.No.1 of 2017, it was stated at para 5 that the petitioners have claimed Accelerated Depreciation already and hence the subject matter of the petition is not applicable to them. The petitioners stated that they did not opt for Accelerated Depreciation benefit and even the Power Purchase Agreements entered into by the petitioners with the 1st respondent clearly stated that the tariff is without Accelerated Depreciation. Hence, they sought for a review of the order dated 28-07-2018 to remove the impression that they already claimed Accelerated Depreciation.

- 2. A thorough verification of the records in the main Original Petition and herein clearly disclosed the claims of the petitioners to be correct and a mistake apparent on the face of the record had occurred in the order dated 28-07-2018 in so far as these petitioners are concerned and it has to be corrected.
- 3. Therefore, in para 5 of the order in O.P.No.1 of 2017 dated 28-07-2018 rendered by this Commission, after the words "since they" and before the words "already claimed", the words (except the respondents 8, 9 and 39, who did not claim any Accelerated Depreciation benefit) shall be inserted and the Review Petition is allowed accordingly. This order be carried into effect by the office of the Commission in the original order. No costs.
- The Review Petition is ordered accordingly.
 This order is corrected and signed on this the 27th day of October, 2018.

Sd/-P. Rama Mohan Member Sd/-Dr. P. Raghu Member Sd/-Justice G. Bhavani Prasad Chairman