



ANDHRA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

4thFloor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

:Present:

Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy, Chairman
Sri Thakur Rama Singh, Member
Sri P.V.R.Reddy, Member

OP No. 80 of 2023

Between:

M/s Arjas Steel private Limited, Represented by its
Authorised Representative-Sridhar Krishnamoorthy,
Having its corporate office at:
304/305, World Trade Centre, 26/1, Dr.Rajkumar Road,
Malleswaram (West), Bengaluru - 560 055, Karnataka, India.

Also at:

Jambulapadu (V), Tadipatri Mandal - 515411,
Anantapur Dist. Andhra Pradesh, India

... Petitioner

And

Andhra Pradesh State Load Despatch Centre,
Represented by Chief Engineer/SLDC,
APTRANSCO, Vidyut Soudha, Vijayawada-520 004

.... Respondent.

This Original Petition has come up for hearing on admission before us today in the presence of Sri Kunal Kaul, counsel representing Sri Sanjay Suraneni, learned counsel for the Petitioner; and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the Respondents; that the learned counsel for the petitioner having sought for permission for withdrawal of this petition, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

This Original Petition is filed for the following reliefs:

- (a) To quash and set aside the Impugned Letter dated 30.05.2023 issued by this Hon'ble Commission;
- (b) to hold and declare that the Petitioner's Captive WHRS of 15 MW and 6 MW capacity are entitled to exemption from meeting RPPO under the RPPO Regulations 2012 and 2017;
- (c) to hold and declare that the Petitioner's power consumption from its Captive WHRS of 15 MW and 6 MW capacity, is entitled for set-off against the Petitioner's RPPO target;
- (d) to hold and declare that for the period FY 2012-13 to FY 2018-19 the Petitioner has met its RPPO targets and that the Petitioner does not have to separately meet the solar and non-solar RPPO targets since its consumption from its Captive WHRS of 15 MW and 6 MW capacity is in excess of the RPPO targets specified by this Hon'ble Commission for the period FY 2012-13 to FY 2018-19;and
- (e) to pass any other relevant clarification in the interest of equity and justice.

After arguing the case for some time, Sri Kunal Kaul, learned counsel for the petitioner, on realising that this Petition is not maintainable, sought permission of the Commission to withdraw the same with liberty to avail appropriate legal remedies.

The OP is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Sd/-
P.V.R. Reddy
Member

Sd/-
Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy
Chairman

Sd/-
Thakur Rama Singh
Member