



ANDHRA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
4thFloor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:Present:

Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy, Chairman
Sri Thakur Rama Singh, Member
Sri P. Rajagopal Reddy, Member

O.P.No.80 of 2021

Between:

M/s. Aquawave Biotech Pvt. Ltd.,
Represented by its Managing Director
B. Srinivasa Rao, Sy.No.497/2C, Flat No.101,
Adavi Village, Bapatla Mandal,
Guntur District-522101. .. Petitioner

And

1. The Chairman & Managing Director,
Central Power Distribution Company of
A.P. Ltd., D.No.19-13-65/A, Srinivasapuram,
Tiruchanoor Road, Tirupati-51703.
2. The Superintending Engineer (Operation Circle),
Vidyut Bhavan, Ponnur Road, APCPDCL,
Guntur District.
3. The Deputy Executive Engineer,
Operation, Bapatla, APCPDCL,
Guntur District.
4. The Assistant Divisional Engineer,
Operation, Bapatla, APCPDCL,
Guntur District. .. Respondents

This Original Petition has come up for hearing in the presence of
Sri K. Ranga Das, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva

Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents and after hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for both the parties, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER:

This Original Petition is filed for the following substantive relief :

“.....to set aside the Notice vide Lr.No.SE /O/ GNT/ SAO/ JAO/ HT/A1/D.No.612/21, dated 30-04-2021 issued by the 2nd respondent demanding the petitioner to pay the consumption charges of Rs.66,16,897/- (Rupees sixty six lakhs sixteen thousand eight hundred and ninety seven only) and the consequential Memo No.SE/O/GNT/SAO/JAO/HT/A1/D.No.790/21, dated 10-0-2021 issued by the 2nd respondent for disconnection of service No.HT SC No.GNT3787, being illegal, arbitrary and not in consonance with the order passed by this Hon'ble Authority in OP No.24 of 2016 dated 17-03-2018...”

The order dated 17-03-2018 of this Commission pertains to FY 2016-17 and 2017-18 only while the dispute raised by the petitioner is in respect of electricity charges payable for the period from April 2018 to March 2019, by which time a separate category, namely, HT-5(D) has been created for Aqua-hatcheries. Therefore, the plea of the petitioner that the demand for tariff higher than what is prescribed for Aqua-culture is in violation of the order of this Commission, is wholly misconceived. Hence, the O.P. is dismissed. It is made clear that if the petitioner has any billing dispute, it shall be free to avail the legal remedies by

approaching the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum and the Ombudsman, if necessary. In such an event, the observations made in this order shall not influence the said Fora.

As a sequel, I.A.No.1 of 2021 filed for interim relief is disposed of as infructuous.

Sd/-
Thakur Rama Singh
Member

Sd/-
Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy
Chairman

Sd/-
P. Rajagopal Reddy
Member

