

ANDHRA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

4thFloor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF SEPTEMBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

:Present:

Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy, Chairman Sri P. Rajagopal Reddy, Member Sri Thakur Rama Singh, Member

O.P.No.37 of 2019

Between:

- Ch. Chandramouli s/o. Suryanarayana R/o. 1-191, Guntupally (village), Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Krishna District, A.P.
- Ch. Venugopal Rao, s/o. Ch. Chandramouli, R/o.1-191, Guntupallyy (village), Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, Krishna District-521421.

Petitioners

And

A.Md. Imtiaz, I.A.S, Collector & District Magistrate, Chilakalapudi, Machilipatnam, Krishna District-521002.

.. Respondents

This Original Petition, having come up for hearing on 22-09-2021 in the presence of Sri P. Chengal Reddy, learned Counsel for the petitioners and D.R.O., Krishna, for the respondent, and upon hearing the arguments of both sides, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER:

This Original Petition is filed under Sections 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act 2003 to punish the respondent for violation of order dated 30-06-2018 in OP.No.51 of 2017.

As undertaken by the respondent, he has issued proceedings dated Nil--08-2021 determining the compensation. With the passing of the said order, the grievance of the petitioners stood abated.

Sri P. Chengal Reddy, learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioner No.1 has passed away on 23-08-2021 leaving behind petitioner No.2 and some other legal representatives. He however submitted that petitioner No.2 alone represents the estate of petitioner No.1 in respect of the lands over which the electrical line has been laid.

While the Commission takes cognizance of the demise of petitioner No.1, petitioner No.2 is permitted to approach respondent No.2 with appropriate evidence in support of his stand that he alone succeeded to the estate of the deceased petitioner No.1 as regards the lands in question and that he alone has the right to receive the compensation. On so approaching, respondent No.2 shall take a decision as to the entitlement of petitioner No.2 to receive the compensation on behalf of the deceased petitioner No.1 also.

Subject to the above observation, the O.P. is disposed of, however leaving petitioner No.2 and the other legal heirs of the deceased petitioner No.1 free to avail appropriate remedies, if they feel aggrieved by the order dated Nil-08-2021 of the respondent.

As a sequel, I.A.No.49 of 2020 is disposed of as infructuous.

Sd/-Thakur Rama Singh Member Sd/-Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy Chairman Sd/P. Rajagopal Reddy
Member