



ANDHRA PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

4thFloor, Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

:Present:

Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy, Chairman
Sri Thakur Rama Singh, Member
Sri P.V.R.Reddy, Member

O.P.No.51 of 2023

Between:

M/s. Sree Venkata Sreedevi Power LLP

Represented by its Authorised Representative-

Sri Thatavarthy Krishna Kishore, Registered office

at 3-1-591, Lakshmipuram, Slone house pet, Nellore AP 524002.

Having its Plant at: Surayapalem Village, Podalkuru Mandal,

SPSR Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh.

...Petitioner

And

1. Southern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Ltd.,
rep, by its Chairman & Managing Director,

#19-13-65/A, Srinivasapuram, Tiruchanoor Road,
Tirupati, Chittoor District, Andhra Pradesh-517503.

2. Andhra Pradesh Power Coordination Committee,
Vidyut Soudha, Gunadala, Eluru Road, Vijayawada,
Andhra Pradesh-520004, Represented by its
General Manager (PP&S).

... Respondents

This Original Petition has come up for hearing before us today in the presence of Sri Deepak Chowdary, representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent; that after carefully considering the material available on record and after hearing the arguments of the learned counsel for both parties, the Commission passed the following:

ORDER

This Original Petition is filed under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking imposition of penalty against the respondent for non-compliance of the order dated 23-3-2022 passed by this Commission in OP No.92 of 2021.

Sri Chakradhara Babu, Convenor, APPCC is personally present. He has submitted that the LPS for the period from 1st April, 2018 till the date of the filing of O.P.No.92 of 2021 was calculated and the same was arrived at Rs.52.00 lakhs, which has already been paid.

Sri Deepak Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioner, has not disputed this submission. He, however, submitted that as per the petitioner's calculation it will be entitled to receive about Rs.4.00 lakhs more.

The issue involved relates only to Late Payment Surcharge. On the petitioner's own showing, the respondent has paid a substantial sum and, even according to the petitioner, a few lakhs more is liable to be paid. However, the petitioner has not substantiated its claim in this regard. Hence, we are inclined to close this petition as the order of this Commission has been substantially complied with.

The OP is, accordingly, closed.

Sd/-
P.V.R. Reddy
Member

Sd/-
Justice C.V. Nagarjuna Reddy
Chairman

Sd/-
Thakur Rama Singh
Member