

రైతు సమాఖ్య వారు లేవనెత్తిన అంశాలకు వివరాలు

- 1) APGENCO ఉత్పత్తి చేసిన విద్యుత్తు వంద శాతము శాస్త్ర ప్రభుత్వ సంస్థలకు బిడుచునది. మిగు ప్రస్తావించిన రూలు కేంద్ర ప్రభుత్వ ఆధీనములో ఉన్న విద్యుత్ ఉత్పత్తి సంస్థలకు వర్తించును.
- 2) 100% యాష్ వినియోగమునకు అన్ని ప్రయత్నములు చేయుచున్నాము. ప్రస్తుతము PPE ఉత్పత్తి తగ్గుట వలన యాష్ వినియోగము 2012-13 నాటికి 70.19 శాతముగా వున్నది.
- 3) పాండు యాష్ వినియోగించుటకు ప్రస్తుతము 2 లాట్లు కనీసము 10 గంటలు పని చేసేటట్లు ఏర్పాట్లు చేయడము జరిగినది. దాని వలన పాండు యాష్ కావలసినంత వినియోగదారులకు ఇవ్వడం జరుగుచున్నది.
- 4) ప్రస్తుతము డా॥ యన్.టి.టి.పి.యన్ లో 159.96 హెక్టార్లలో 1,61,295 చెట్లున్నవి. మరి కొంత వైశాల్యంలో మొక్కలు నాటు కొల్పడం చేపట్ట బిడుచున్నది.
- 5) డా॥ యన్.టి.టి.పి.యన్ నుండి తెలువడిన వ్యర్థ నీటిని శుద్ధిచేసి బిడుమేరు కొల్పకు విడుదల చేయడము జరుగుచున్నది.

ఇట్లు

*(Signature)*  
16/07/13  
చిఫ్ ఇంజనీర్ | సివిల్

జనరల్ సర్జిస్ట్  
Chief Engineer  
(Civil) General Services  
APGENCO, Vidyan Southa,  
HYDERABAD 500 082.

Objector : APPCC

S.No.	Objection	Reply
1	RoCE rate may be limited to 13.55% for old stations instead of 15% claimed since Debt equity ratio to be adopted is 70:30	As per 10.13 of APERC regulations no.240 dt 1.9.2008 , Debt to Equity ratio is 70:30 on date of CoD. As per 12.1 of APERC regulations Debt to Equity ratio shall be determined at the beginning of the control period after considering generating company's previous years D/E mix, market conditions and other relevant factors. The D/E ratio of 70:30 is not a fixed ratio.Further to this most of the APGENCO stations are old and Debt portion was repaid. APGENCO has considered the previous years D/E mix prevailing at the beginning of the control period 2009-14. The proposal of APGENCO is in line with APERC regulations.Hence APGENCO is entitled for RoCE of 15% as filed.
2	Operation and maintenance costs	APGENCO claimed 40% towards payrevision based on actuals and APGENCO has no objection for limiting it to actuals.
3	Not declaring the daily availabilities of Small Hydel& Mini Hydel Stations	Small Hydel& Mini Hydel stations are located in the remote areas. So there is a practical problem to communicate avilability (Capacity indices) on daily basis. Hence APGENCO is communicating to APSLDC on monthly basis. It may not serve much purpose in communicating on daily basis since the total capacity being about 12 MW and when fixed charges are paid on montly basis and true up at the end of the year.However APGENCO will make all efforts to make necessary arrangements to communicate on daily basis for up coming period if APERC directs
4	Disallowed fixed charges for entire control period for the 2 MW Ramagiri Wind Power Project	Erstwhile APSEB has constructed 2 MW Ramgiri wind project with BHEL as equipment supplier. The performance of the plant is below the guaranteed performance and to make the shortfall, the entire energy supplied by 3MW BHEL wind mill to APSEB is being adjusted till replacement of equipment by BHEL from erstwhile APSEB period. The above arrangement is continuing till now and the energy supplied by BHEL units till April, 2013 as certified by CE/SLDC is herewith enclosed for information. The present arrangement is being continued in the sectorial interest and APGENCO has no objection to withdraw from the above arrangement. APGENCO will settle the issue with BHEL on monatory terms and Discoms have to pay to BHEL as per PPA entered with BHEL.M/S. BHEL is also insisting for the same.

**Objector : Sri M .Venugopala Rao**

S.No	Objection	Reply
1	Abnormal delay in finalisation of APGENCO tariff applications.	The tariff applications have been taken up after clearance of linked petitions i.e. OP 6 and OP 7 . The tariff determination for the period 2006-09 was made and order was issued on 4th July 2013. Immediately the tariff petition for the control period 2009-14 was taken up. Interim orders were issued for the period 2009-13 on year to year basis.
2	Hon'ble Chief Minister has agreed for Equity support for the proposed 800 MW units at Dr.NTTPS V, KTPS VII and SDSTPS-II to an extent of Rs.4071 Crs. However no budgetary provision was made in 2013-14 financial year.	The proposed projects are in advanced stage of planning and statutory clearances are being obtained. After receipt of all approvals and statutory clearances Govt. will be requested for equity funding as and when necessary.
3	Allocation of Coal, usage of imported coal and natural gas	APGENCO is taking all steps to minimise usage of imported coal by procurement of domestic coal at e-auction prices, transporting domestic coal through rail cum sea cum rail. The usage of imported coal is only 1.9 Million MT in total consumption of 29.80 Million MT which is 6%, which is inevitable. APGENCO has been procuring imported coal as per the targets fixed by CEA, GOI to meet the shortfall of coal from MCL. APGENCO is procuring imported coal by inviting tenders from central public sector undertakings and placing orders on L1 Bidders. The procurement price is economical when compared with other states.
4	Long Term PPA's.	The issue is in Hon'ble APERC purview.
5	Operation and maintenance costs	APGENCO claimed O&M cost as per norms fixed by APERC and pay-revision commitments are added to normative O&M cost . The claim is inline with Hon'ble APERC decision in OP.No.6/2006 filed by APGENCO. The actual O&M cost of APGENCO are higher than the norm and APGENCO limited its claim to norm along with pay revision commitments. APGENCO is taking all steps for optimum utilization of man power as suggested by the objector.
6	a) Highest auxiliary consumption for Dr.NTTPS, RTTP-I & KTPS-V b) High heat rate for APGENCO stations	APGENCO is claiming variable costs as per the norms prescribed in the APERC regulations No. 1 of 2008. However the Auxiliary consumption is within the norms.
7	Payment mechanism	LC backed by Escrow is provided in the PPA.
8	Other payments	APGENCO filed tariff applications as per APERC regulations No. 1 of 2008.

**Objector : Sri A.Punna Rao**

S.No	Objection	Reply
1	Abnormal delay in tariff determination.	Not in APGENCO purview.
2	APGENCO proposals are found to be appropriate	Proposals are as per regulations.
3	Backing down of APGENCO Stations	GENCO is getting the fixed cost.
4	Inadequate supplies of Coal from SCCL and MCL	SCCL is supplying over and above linkage quantity. Whereas M/s MCL is supplying only 65% of linkage quantity resulting procurement of imported coal.
5	Payrevision should be provided.	APEREC allowed 2002,2006 pay revisions in tariff. CERC/ATE orders supports pay revision as part of tariff.
6	Separate norms for lower capacities	APGENCO also requested for separate norms for lower capacities.
7	LC has to be opened.	LC is provided in PPA .
8	Dues more than Rs 4000 Crs	Over dues are Rs 3763 Crs.

**Objector : Sri Y.S Gurunatha Rao**

S.No	Objection	Reply
1	<b>The applications filed by the applicant are untenable.</b>	The applications are filed as per the Section 62 of Electricity Act 2003.
2	As per Regulation 8 of the regulations, the application is liable to be disposed of within 120 days from the date of application. If not disposed of within 120 days, the right source for the applicant would have been to accept the then existing tariff or challenge non revision of tariff before appropriate forum.	The disposal of tariff application is within the purview of APERC . The tariff petition is pending for disposal of interconnected petitions i.e OP 6 of 2006, OP 7 of 2006 , OP 27 of 2006 and OP 4 of 2007.
3	The new consumers should not be burdened with the dues of the old plants	The tariff is already recovered provisionally through tariff orders on year to year basis.
4	No amendment applications, truing up or determination based on actuals is filed before Hon'ble commission.	No interlocutory application was filed for truing up of expenditure and IA were filed for inclusion of newly commissioned stations.
5	More than 20 Crs of expenses are being claimed as Interstate power. It is highly untenable to claim the expenses which are no way concerned with applicant's expenses.	APGENCO is maintaining interstate projects (TB dam & Machkund) of GoAP and the fixed costs of these projects are being recovered for APDISCOMs for the power supplied. The fixed cost recovered on actual cost basis is being remitted to GoAP, Owner of the projects.
6	2-3 revisions of tariff within a financial year	The tariff was recovered provisionally through tariff orders and no revision was taken place .
7	Publishing more than three to four different applications simultaneously causes great confusion and avoidable inconvenience to the stakeholders.	All connected petitions related to control period for 2009-14 are placed for hearing.