

Record of proceedings dated 01-10-2016

O.P. No. 20 of 2016 & I.A.Nos.7 & 8 of 2016
FOR ORDERS

M/s. ACME Jaisalmer Solar Power Pvt. Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 r/w Article 9 of the PPA dt.05-12-2014 r/w Regulation Nos. 55 (Saving of inherent power of the Commission), 57 (Power to remove difficulties) and 59 (Extension or abridgment of time prescribed) of the APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 and Regulation 85 (Power to relax) of CERC (Terms & Conditions for tariff determination from renewable energy sources) Regulations, 2012 seeking extension of COD for actual delay of 70 days.

Sri M. Abhinay Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri P. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri G.V. Brahmananda Rao, learned counsel representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

There is no quorum, as both the Hon'ble Members are not present. Hence, the matter is posted for orders to 15-10-2016 at 11:00 AM.

Call on:

15-10-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

Indian Wind Power Association Vs APTRANSCO, APEPDCL, APSPDCL and
NREDCAP

Petition filed by the above mentioned petitioner u/s 86 (1) (e) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 r/w Section 11 of Andhra Pradesh Electricity Reform Act, 1998 is in relation to billing of auxiliary power drawn by wind turbines from grid.

Hearing on maintainability of the petition

Sri M.K. Viswanath Naidu, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri G.V. Brahmananda Rao, learned counsel representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri M.K. Viswanath Naidu, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner filed a memo requesting for permission to withdraw the application, with a liberty to file an appropriate representation. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner. Without prejudice to the rights of the petitioner to pursue the reliefs sought for in accordance with law, it is permitted to withdraw the present application.

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P.No.36 of 2014
GMR Vemagiri Power Generation Ltd Vs APPCC, APTRANSCO & 4 DISCOMs

Restored the matter vide orders dt.19-12-2015 passed by the Commission in I.A.No.11 of 2015 in O.P. No. 26 of 2012

Sri Kaustubh Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri G.V. Brahmananda Rao, learned counsel representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri Kaustubh Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri G.V. Brahmananda Rao, learned counsel representing Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are heard. Sri Kaustubh Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner requested that the Original Petition may be closed in view of the almost certain settlement between the parties for adjustment of the dues from the petitioner to the respondents towards interest on MAT claims covered by this petition. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that if it still necessary to approach this Commission, the petitioner will come up with an appropriate application for the purpose. Hence, under the circumstances, the petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN