

Record of proceedings dated 04-06-2016

R.P. No. 3 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. ITC Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 4 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. The Andhra Sugars Ltd Vs APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 5 of 2015 & I.A. No. 17 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Synergies Castings Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015 (Suo-motu) and the Interlocutory Application seeking stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of order Dt. 15-04-2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been

initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

I.A. No. 27 of 2015 in R.P. No. 6 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Vedik Ispat Pvt Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

I.A. No. 29 of 2015 in R.P. No. 7 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Mahrishi Alloys Pvt Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 8 of 2015 & I.A. No. 18 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Andhra Spinning Mills Association Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu) and Interlocutory Application seeking stay of all further proceedings in
pursuance of order the dt.15-04-2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 9 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Deccan Cements Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been

initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 10 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Sree Rayalaseema Alkalies and Allied Chemicals Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

None appeared for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent is present.

None appeared for the petitioner. Heard Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 14 of 2015 & I.A. No. 34 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
Shri Tirupati Steel Cast Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 15 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Espar Pak Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 16 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. RPP Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been

initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 17 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Akshay Profiles Pvt Ltd & others Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 18 of 2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
Sree Rayalaseema Green Energy Ltd Vs APSPDCL & APEPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 1 of 2016 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
M/s. Dalmia Cement Bharat Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Petition for review of the Commission's order dated 15.04.2015 in O.P. No. 8 of 2015
(Suo-motu)

None appeared for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent is present.

None appeared for the petitioner. Heard Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent. The Hon'ble High Court in orders in a batch of Writ Petitions on 27-04-2016 set aside the orders of this Commission dated 15-04-2015 in O.P.No.8 of 2015 determining the Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16. In view of the setting aside the orders, proceedings have already been initiated for re-determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2015-16 in accordance with law. As the order which is sought to be reviewed is set aside and ceases to exist, nothing survives in this review petition to be adjudicated by this Commission. Hence, this petition is dismissed without costs as infructuous.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 27 of 2015 & I.A. No. 35 of 2015
Sammera Paper Industry Ltd Vs APEPDCL

Petition u/s 62 (1) (a) read with 86 (1) (a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 to direct the respondent to purchase power generated by it and to pay the generic tariff fixed by the Commission as well as the Interlocutory Application

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent filed a set of documents. At request due to personal inconvenience of Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the petitioner, posted to 25-06-2016.

Call on:

25-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 33 of 2015

M/s. Indo National Ltd Vs APTRANSCO & APSPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking direction to facilitate Energy Banking facility by the Respondent No. 2 for 1 MW Grid Interactive Solar P.V. Power Plant installed at the factory premises for captive use

Sri P. Muni Ramudu, Sri M. Devendranath Reddy, Consultant of the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Sri M. Devendranath Reddy, Consultant of the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are heard. For orders posted to 18-06-2016.

Call on: 18-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 12 of 2016

APEPDCL & APSPDCL Vs GVK Gautami Power Ltd., TSSPDCL & TSNPDCL

Petition under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 claiming certain amounts from Respondent No. 1

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioners and Sri Arjun Bhaskar, learned counsel representing Sri S. Ravi, learned counsel for the 1st respondent are present.

Sri Arjun Bhaskar, learned counsel representing Sri S. Ravi, learned counsel for the 1st respondent sought for time for filing counter. There is no acknowledgment of service of notice from the 2nd respondent. But, notice is served on the learned Standing Counsel for respondents 2 and 3 and also the 3rd respondent. No representation for respondents 2 and 3. Time extended for counter of 1st respondent till 02-07-2016. Await notice of the 2nd respondent in the meanwhile.

Call on: 02-07-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 12 of 2015
Indira Power Pvt Ltd Vs APSPDCL & 7 others

Petition under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 55 of the APERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 1999 seeking declaration that the petitioner's 700 kWp Solar PV power plant is entitled to draw power without a High Tension Service Connection with minimum contacted demand of 70 kVA & other reliefs.

Sri H. Rajesh Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

At request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, posted to 18-06-2016.

Call on: 18-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 44 of 2014
International Paper APPM Ltd (The Andhra Pradesh Paper Mills Ltd) Vs APSLDC

Petition seeking accreditation of the petitioner's renewable energy project

Sri Vedula Srinivas, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

The report of joint inspection conducted as per the orders dated 02-04-2016 has been filed. For hearing at request of Sri Vedula Srinivas, learned counsel for the petitioner, posted to 02-07-2016.

Call on: 02-07-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 68 of 2012

Axis Wind Energy Ltd. & others Vs GoAP & others

Petition seeking framing guidelines determining evacuation policy and wheeling charges for captive generation or sale to third parties

Sri S.V.S. Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S. Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents. For orders, posted to 18-06-2016.

Call on: 18-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

R.P. No. 4 of 2013 in O.P. No. 13 of 2012

Axis Energy Ventures (India) Pvt. Ltd & others Vs Indian Wind Energy Association (InWEA) & DISCOMs

Petition u/s 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for review of the order in O.P. No. 13 of 2012 dated 15.11.2012

Sri S.V.S. Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the Discoms are present.

Heard Sri S.V.S. Chowdary, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the Discoms. For orders, posted to 18-06-2016.

Call on: 18-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 11 of 2016
Sarvaraya Sugars Ltd Vs APTRANSCO, APEPDCL &
SE / TL & SS Circle / APTRANSCO / Rajahmundry

Petition under Section 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in the matter of Line
Maintenance Charges claimed by the respondents from the petitioner

Sri S.V.S.S. Siva Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned
counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the
respondents are present.

Time extended for counter at request of Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for
the respondents, posted to 25-06-2016.

Call on: 25-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 18 of 2016
APEPDCL Vs M/s. Vishnu Vidyut India Ltd.

Petition filed on the representation of M/s. Vishnu Vidyut India Ltd., 7.5 MW Bio-mass
based power plant for sale of power to APEPDCL under long term PPA

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioner and Sri S.V.S.S. Siva
Ram, learned counsel representing Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the
respondent are present.

Sri Challa Gunaranjan, learned counsel for the respondent filed Vakalat for the
respondent. For counter and hearing, time extended till 25-06-2016.

Call on: 25-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 8 of 2016
M/s. PMC Power Pvt. Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Petition for determination of tariff for the 11th year to 20th year of operation in respect of its mini-hydel power project in compliance with judgment dated 20-01-2016 of the Hon'ble ATE in Appeal No. 268 of 2014

Sri M. Sreepathi Rao, M.D. representing the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

Orders are not ready. Hence, posted to 10-06-2016.

Call on: 10-06-2016
at 11:30 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 9 of 2016
M/s. Bhavani Hydro Power Projects Pvt. Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Petition for determination of tariff for the 11th year to 20th year of operation in respect of its mini-hydel power project in compliance with judgment dated 20-01-2016 of the Hon'ble ATE in Appeal No. 268 of 2014

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent is present.

Orders are not ready. Hence, posted to 10-06-2016.

Call on: 10-06-2016
at 11:30 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 10 of 2016
M/s. NCL Industries Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Petition for determination of tariff for the 11th year to 20th year of operation in respect of its mini-hydel power project in compliance with judgment dated 20-01-2016 of the Hon'ble ATE in Appeal No. 268 of 2014

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent is present.

Orders are not ready. Hence, posted to 10-06-2016.

Call on:

10-06-2016
at 11:30 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 17 of 2016
APSPDCL Vs ---

Petition seeking approval of the Commission for implementation of Domestic Efficient Fans Programme (DEFP) only in Krishna District in the jurisdiction of APSPDCL under Demand Side Management (DSM) Programme

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioner is present.

Orders pronounced (vide separate order)

“18. The petition is allowed accordingly subject to the above directions and the petitioner shall enter into and submit an Energy Savings Agreement with M/s. Energy Efficiency Services Limited, New Delhi accordingly incorporating all the necessary details as per the directions detailed above and submit the same to the Commission for approval. The petitioner and M/s. Energy Efficiency Services Limited can proceed to take steps for implementation of the programme.”

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 26 of 2015
APSPDCL & APEPDCL Vs National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd (NTPC) & A.P.
Solar Power Corporation Ltd

Petition u/s 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking approval of long term PPAs and regulation of price for purchase of solar power

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioners and K. Sudharshan, Addl General Manager (Commercial) representing the 1st respondent are present.

Orders pronounced (vide separate order)

“Therefore, the petition is ordered with the following directions,-

(1) In clause 6.1 of the subject Power Purchase Agreement, the petitioners and the 1st respondent shall incorporate the words “for any reason or cause attributable to the Eastern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited (or the Southern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited as the case may be), after the words “for the annual CUF between 18.13% and 17.9%” and before the words “Eastern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited (or the Southern Power Distribution Company of Andhra Pradesh Limited as the case may be) shall compensate”;

(2) In clause 6.1 of the subject Power Purchase Agreement, the petitioners and the 1st respondent shall substitute the words “`6.16 per kWh” wherever they occur with the words “`5.96 per kWh”;

(3) In the event the proposed bundling of 750 MW solar power to be generated from Stage-II of this project and 1068 MW of thermal power to be generated from Ramagundam thermal power station (on allocation of 267 MW of thermal power from that generating plant) making the effective power purchase price of `4.20 per unit including for the 250 MW proposed to be generated from Stage-I of this project does not materialize as and when it should so materialize, any

stakeholder including the petitioners, the 2nd respondent, the objectors herein and any other consumer or stakeholder can invoke the jurisdiction of this Commission for reexamination and reconsideration in accordance with law of the consent being given herein;

(4) Accordingly, in exercise of its regulatory jurisdiction, under section 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003, section 21 (4) of the Andhra Pradesh Electricity Reform Act, 1998 and Rule 8 of the Electricity Rules, 2005, the Power Purchase Agreements between the petitioners and the 1st respondent dated 24-04-2015 respectively are approved and consented to, subject to the conditions specified at (1) to (3) above;

(5) The parties shall bear their own costs;

(6) The parties shall submit such agreements executed in compliance of this order to the Commission within 45 (forty five) days from today.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 20 of 2016 & IA. Nos. 7 & 8 of 2016
M/s. ACME Jaisalmer Solar Power Pvt. Ltd Vs APSPDCL

Sri P. Vikram, Sri Anurag Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

I.A. Nos. 7 & 8 of 2016: Heard Sri P. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent. The petitioner is directed to serve copies of the petition, enclosed documents and the Interlocutory Applications to the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent immediately. However, as invocation of bank guarantee or taking recourse to any coercive steps before the parties filed their pleadings and the matter is heard on merits, either in the Interlocutory Applications or in

the main petition, will cause comparative inconvenience and avoidable injury to the petitioner, an interim restraint against the respondent has to be ordered till the next date of hearing. Such an interim restraint causes no prejudice to the rights and interests of the respondent, as the bank guarantee will be alive upto October, 2016 according to Sri P. Vikram, learned counsel for the petitioner and any other obligations and liabilities of the petitioner can be enforced by the respondent against the petitioner and its generating plant, which is stated to have been already commissioned on 12-05-2016.

Hence, the respondent be restrained from invoking the subject bank guarantee and from taking any other coercive action till the next date of hearing i.e., 18-06-2016.

Counters of the respondent in the Interlocutory Applications in the meanwhile.

Call on: 18-06-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. Nos. 15 & 16 of 2016
APSPDCL & APEPDCL Vs ---

Petitions for determination of Cross Subsidy Surcharge and Additional Surcharge for FY 2016-17 under Sections 38, 39, 40 & 42 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioners, Sri Sandeep Parolia, representing A.P. Ferro Alloys Producers Association and Representatives of the Federation of Telangana and Andhra Pradesh Chambers of Commerce and Industry (FTAPCCI) are present. Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel entered appearance for ITC Limited, Chirala, M/s. Sree Rayalaseema Green Energy Limited, Biomass Energy Developers Association, M/s. RPP Limited and M/s. Espar Pak Limited

At request due to personal inconvenience of Sri K. Gopal Choudary, learned counsel for the objectors, adjourned for hearing to 10-06-2016 at 11:30 AM.

Call on: 10-06-2016
at 11:30 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PR

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN