

Record of proceedings dated 07-05-2016

O.P. No. 17 of 2016
APSPDCL Vs ---

Petition seeking approval of the Commission for implementation of Domestic Efficient Fans Programme (DEFP) in the area of operation of APSPDCL under Demand Side Management (DSM) Programme

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioner is present.

The views of Sri M. Thimma Reddy, Convenor, People's Monitoring Group on Electricity Regulation alone have been received in this regard by the Commission. Heard Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioner, who requested for time for taking steps for amending the petition to make it more specific and clear. Hence, the matter is posted to 11-05-2016.

Call on:

11-05-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 26 of 2015

APSPDCL & APEPDCL Vs National Thermal Power Corporation Ltd (NTPC) & A.P.
Solar Power Corporation Ltd

Petition u/s 86 (1) (b) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking approval of long term PPAs and regulation of price for purchase of solar power

Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioners, Sri M.G. Ramachandran learned Counsel for the 1st respondent, Sri G. Adi Seshu, M.D., A.P. Solar Power Corporation Limited and Sri M. Venugopala Rao, learned objector are present.

Heard Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the petitioners, Sri M.G. Ramachandran, learned counsel for the 1st respondent and Sri M. Venugopala Rao, learned objector. Sri M. Venugopala Rao has filed his further submissions in the matter. The 1st respondent also filed its reply submissions. The petitioners filed their comments on the objections of Sri M. Venugopala Rao. Orders reserved.

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN

O.P. No. 36 of 2014

GMR Vemagiri Power Generation Ltd Vs APPCC, APTRANSCO & 4 DISCOMs

Restored the matter vide orders dt. 19-12-2015 passed by the Commission in I.A. No. 11 of 2015 in O.P. No. 26 of 2012

Sri Aly A Bashith, learned counsel representing Sri S. Niranjan Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent are present.

Heard Sri Aly A. Basith, learned counsel representing Sri S. Niranjan Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

The principal amount of ₹3.17 crores from respondents 4 and 6 have been admittedly received by the petitioner. But, the amount of interest payable has not been admittedly paid. Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents has stated that so far, no orders have been passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on their review petitions which are stated to be pending, while the quantum of the interest payable is also not in dispute. In the absence of any further orders or directions from the Hon'ble Supreme Court, compliance with the enforceable and executable orders cannot be

postponed indefinitely. Sri P. Shiva Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents requested that respondents 4 and 6 may be granted time atleast till the first week of July, 2016 for payment, subject to any orders or directions which the Hon'ble Supreme Court may pass in the meanwhile. Therefore, respondents 4 and 6 i.e., both the distribution companies of the State of Andhra Pradesh are directed to pay the quantum of interest payable under the orders in question to the petitioner by 16-07-2016, in default of compliance with the directions, the Commission will proceed further to enforce and execute the orders in accordance with law. These directions are subject to any orders or directions which the Hon'ble Supreme Court may pass in this regard. Call on 16-07-2016.

Call on:

16-07-2016
at 11:00 AM

Sd/-
MEMBER / PRM

Sd/-
CHAIRMAN